UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,390	04/19/2004	Yoshiharu Uetani	252006US2	9710	
22850 7590 07/15/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			SMITH, JEFFREY S		
ALEAANDRIA	ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/15/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	10/826,390	UETANI, YOSH	IHARU		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JEFFREY S. SMITH	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	), which is after the			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-		
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is		
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review		
7. The reason(s) below:					
/Jingge Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624					

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20080707